IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION.

WRIT PETITION NO.4107 OF 2006

Shri Suresh Govind Chavan, .. Petitioner.
Vs
1. Tahsildar Poladpur & ors. .. Respondents

Mr R.R.bhosale i/b M/s Corpslgal, for the petitioner.
Mr M.S.Chatage, for respondent nos 2 to 5.

CORAM : D.B.BHOSALE,J.
DATE : 22.08.2006

PC:

1. Heard the learned counsel for the parties.

2. This petition is directed against the concurrent findings recorded by the authorities below confirming the no confidence motion passed against the petitioner. The petitioner was the Sarpanch of Mahalunge Gram Panchayat, against whom no confidence motion was moved by four members of the Gram Panchayat. The total number of members of the Gram Panchayat is six. The challenge was mainly on the ground that the petitioner was not given an opportunity in the meeting to speak. Similarly, even the Addl.Commissioner, Kokan Division, did not give him an opportunity to place his case in the appeal and has not considered the matter in proper perspective. I perused the orders impugned in the present petition. They clearly record a finding of fact that the petitioner was heard in the meeting before the

no confidence motion was passed against him. It also appears from the impugned judgment that the petitioner was heard by the concerned authority and his all contentions have been dealt with. Moreover, the election to elect a new Sarpanch is also scheduled to hold on 23.8.2006. Keeping all that in view and considering the concurrent findings recorded by the authorities below and as I find that there is sufficient material on record to sustain those findings and considering the extremely limited jurisdiction of this Court under Article 227 of the Constitution of India to disturb the findings of fact, the present writ petition cannot be entertained. The writ petition, therefore, fails and is dismissed as such.

(D.B.BHOSALE,J.)